# Central Information Commission Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067

CIC/AA/A/20/72 CICOM/A/P/20/00033 CICOM/R/P/20/00056

Name of the Appellant:

Shri Jarnail Singh Sodhi S-1/995/Sector-5, Vasundhra Ghaziabad – 201012. C. I. C. के स् आ RECEIVED

18 MAR 2020

D. No......

1.	Date of RTI application	15.01.2020
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	05.02.2020
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	Sh. R. P. Grover, CPIO & Dy. Registrar, IC-YS
4.	1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal Date	24.02.2020
5.	Diary No. of 1st Appeal	FAA72/05.03.2020
8.	Date of Decision	17.03.2020

#### Brief Facts of the case:-

1. The appellant, in his RTI application stated that more than 11 months period of time has passed from the date of receipt of his Second Appeal dated 21.01.2019 in the Commission but no hearing has been scheduled till date and requested to give date of of hearing on his Second Appeal without any further delay.

## Reply of CPIO:-

- 2. In response to the RTI application Sh. R. P. Grover, CPIO & Dy. Registrar, IC-YS, provided following information to the appellant:
  - 1. The complaints and second appeals filed in this commission under the RTI Act are taken up for hearing in chronological order and at present your appeal is pending for hearing in the registry of IC (YS).
  - 2. Any request is not covered under RT1 Act.

## Ground of First Appeal:-

3. Aggrieved with the reply sent by the CPIO, the appellant filed 1<sup>st</sup> appeal before the Commission stating that:

"the information sought under RTI Act by me is required urgently in connection with criminal case filed by me for justice to my late son Shri Jitender Sodhi who was B.Tech., Assistant Bank Manager and UPSC aspirant and he was abetted to commit suicide on 06/06/2018 in New Delhi.

It is, therefore, kindly requested to fix date of hearing as early as possible so that I may be able to get the accused punished through court of LAW."

### Decision with reasons:-

- 4. On perusal of the Appeal, RTI application and CPIO's reply, it is observed that the information given by the CPIO is factual and as per RTI Act. Therefore, no further intervention is required on the part of the FAA, in the matter. It is also stated that First Appellate Authority can not interfere in the matter of second appeal.
- 5. The appeal is being disposed of accordingly.

3605 74th case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi – 110,067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated: March 17, 2020.

(Meena Balimane Sharma)
First Appellate Authority
Tel: 26162290

Copy to:

1. CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.

2. Sh. R. P. Grover, CPIO & Dy. Registrar, IC-YS, CIC, New Delhi,

(103/20)