

Central Information Commission  
Baba Gang Nath Marg,  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067

CIC/AA/A/2020/67  
CICOM/A/E/20/00037  
CICOM/R/2019/50977

Name of the Appellant: Shri Vikas Mittal  
1/7076 B, Gali No.-4, Shivaji Park, Shahdara,  
Delhi - 110 032

1.	Date of RTI application-	11.12.2019
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	08.01.2020
4.	CPIO (s) who furnished reply	Sh. R. P. Gorver, Deputy Registrar to IC-(YS)
3.	1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal Date	05.03.2020
5.	Date of Decision	11.03.2020

**Brief Facts of the case:-**

- The appellant, through his RTI application asked for following information:  
"Kindly provide certified copies of every information/order/submission available on record for the following CIC appeal numbers:  
1. CIC/EDMCD/A/2018/166364  
2. CIC/EMCDN/A/2018/136781

**Reply of CPIO:-**

- In response to the RTI application Sh. R. P. Gorver, Deputy Registrar to IC-(YS), CIC provided following information to the appellant:  
"Total Number of pages available in the above two appeal files are 54 only, as you are requested to deposit a sum of Rs. 108/- with the commission. On receipt the amount desired information will be provided."

**Ground of First Appeal:-**

- Aggrieved with the reply sent by the CPIO, the appellant filed 1<sup>st</sup> appeal before the First Appellate Authority, CIC alleging that:

"As per the reply provided by CPIO Point number 1 is not completely visible, 2 and 3 are completely missing in the reply. Kindly find the attached document for reference. With this appeal I request you to kindly direct the CPIO to provide complete information within 5 days."

**Decision with reasons:-**

C. I. C. / के. सु. आ.  
**RECEIVED**  
11 MAR 2020  
On perusal of the Appeal, RTI application and CPIO's reply it is observed that the appellant, through his RTI application, has only asked for copies of all documents available in two case files No. 1. CIC/EDMCD/A/2018/166364 and 2. CIC/EMCDN/A/2018/136781. Accordingly, the CPIO in his reply has clearly intimated him to deposit Rs. 108/- as additional fee for 54 pages available in above two case files. The reply of the CPIO is clearly visible

on RTI portal as well as from perusal of the copy attached by the appellant himself with his 1<sup>st</sup> appeal. As far as the contention of the appellant that the CPIO has not responded to query raised against point no. 3, it is intimated that no any other information has been asked by the appellant except above 2 points, as is seen on his online application form.

In light of the above, the undersigned observes that the information provided by the CPIO, CIC is factual and as per provision of the RTI Act, 2005 and hence no further intervention is required on the part of FAA.

5. The appeal is being disposed of accordingly.

6. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated: March 11, 2020.

*T. Balimane*  
*11/3/2020*  
(Smt. Meena Balimane Sharma)

First Appellate Authority

Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

- CW*  
*11/3/20*
- [Signature]*  
*11/03/2020*
1. Sh. T. B. J. S. Rajapa, CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.
  2. Sh. R. P. Gorver, Deputy Registrar to IC-(YS), CIC, New Delhi.