

Central Information Commission
Baba Gang Nath Marg,
Munirka, New Delhi -110067.

CIC/AA/A/2020/75
CICOM/A/E/20/00040
CICOM/R/E/20/00098

Name of the Appellant : Sh. Jasbir Singh Arora
85, GF, Jeewan Nagar,
Bala Sahib Road,
New Delhi - 110014.

1.	Date of RTI application	06.02.2020
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	03.03.2020
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	Sh. C. Vinod Babu, Consultant (SO)
4.	1 st Appeal Date	06.03.2020
5.	Date of Decision	20.03.2020

Brief facts of the case:-

The appellant, through his RTI application, asked for following information:

“The copy of Advertisement/Notification against which all these consultants applied. Also provide the photocopy of Bio-Data/Resume/CV of all these consultants, after applying the section 10 of the RTI Act 2005 i.e. after severing those details which are exempted under RTI Act 2005.”

Reply by the CPIO :-

2. In response to the above RTI application, the CPIO, Shri C. Vinod Babu, Consultant (SO) & CPIO forwarded the reply, obtained from Shri V. P. Singh, SO (Consultant) Admn, u/s 5(4) of RTI Act, 2005, in which the appellant had been informed that:-

“Copies of Advertisement regarding engagement of consultants are enclosed. However, advertisements are available on Commission’s website under recruitment www.cic.gov.in.

Copies of Bio-data of all consultants cannot be provided u/s 8 (1)(j) as it qualifies as a personal information.”

Ground of First Appeal:-

3. Aggrieved with the reply provided by the CPIO, the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on following ground:

“CPIO was requested to apply the provision of Section 10 of RTI Act 2005 to provide the details, which means CPIO was expected to black out the personal information such as address, date of birth etc and thus provide information such as name, qualification,

:2:

experience, category(General,SC,ST OBC) and all such information which do not fall under personal information. If CPIO was allowed to apply the Section 8(1)(j) of RTI Act 2005, then what is the purpose of Section 10 of RTI Act 2005, which is on severity. FAA is therefore requested to order accordingly by giving opportunity of hearing as required in terms of order dated 21.12.2018 in WP(C) 13890 of 2018 filed and pending before High Court of Delhi"

Decision with Reason:-

4. On perusal of the Appeal, RTI application and CPIO's reply, it is observed that the appellant has asked for Copies of Advertisement regarding engagement of consultants which has been provided by CPIO. Appellants has also sought photocopy of Bio-Data/Resume/CV of all these consultants, in this respect CPIO has informed that this information cannot be provided u/s 8 (1)(j) as it qualifies as a personal information.

The undersigned observes that the reply given by CPIO is factual and as per RTI Act, therefore no further intervention is required on the part of the FAA in the matter.

5. The appeal is being disposed of accordingly.

6. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 20th March, 2020.

Meena Ballmane
20/3/2020
(Meena Ballmane Sharma)

First Appellate Authority

Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

1. CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.
2. Shri C. Vinod Babu, Consultant (S.O.) & CPIO, CIC, New Delhi.

OF

C. I. C. / के. सू. आ.	
RECEIVED	
23 MAR 2020	
D. No.
Initials	<i>[Signature]</i>