

Central Information Commission

Baba Gang Nath Marg,
Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067

CICOM/A/P/20/00061
CICOM/R/P/20/00234

Name of the Appellant: Sh. P V Issac
M P Travels, Thyckavumpady
P.O. – Threskkariyoor
Distt. – Ernakulam
Kerala – 686692.

1.	Date of RTI application	23.04.2020
2	Date of receipt of RTI application in RTI Cell of the Commission	03.06.2020
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	29.06.2020
3.	CPIO (s) who furnished reply	Sh. A K Assija
4.	1 st Appeal Date	10.07.2020
5	First Appeal received in FAA's office	17.08.2020
6.	Date of Decision	01.09.2020

Brief Facts of the case:-

1. In the RTI application, appellant has sought the following information regarding Second Appeal No. CIC/CBSE/A/2017/309956:-

1. Issue necessary early order for the required information sought on 22.07.2016.
2. The action taken on the complaint and the stage at which the matter stands may be intimated.

Reply of CPIO:-

2. CPIO, Sh. A. K. Assija has provided the following information vide his letter dated 29.06.2020:-

“In your RTI application you have made a reference to the Order issued in the File No. CIC/CBSE/A/2017/309956 on October 04, 2017 with reference to your RTI application dated 22.07.2016. Further, you have made a request for issue of order to the respondent authority to provide you the information as sought by you in your RTI application dated 22.07.2016”


II. It is stated that the Commission had passed the following Order in the above case:

“On checking the website of the school, it transpires that Allan Feldman is a private school, though affiliated to the CBSE but the respondents mentioned that even to the affiliated schools, the rules that apply with respect to pay, gratuity, pension, etc., are those of the State/UT Government concerned. The CPIO/FAA from CBSE had appropriately responded in the matter. The appellant may like to approach the school authorities concerned/State Government in the matter if he so wishes. The appeal is disposed of”.

“It may be observed from the above that no further action is required in the matter. Further, it is stated that CPIO has to work within the ambit of the RTI Act”.

Ground of First Appeal:-

3. The appellant has filed First Appeal against the information given by CPIO in respect to RTI application No. CICOM/R/E/20/00234, but he has not mentioned any specific point of dissatisfaction with the reply of CPIO of this Commission.



Decision with reasons:-

4. On perusal of the Appeal and RTI application and information given by CPIO, it is observed that the said RTI application has been received in RTI Cell of the Commission on 03.06.2020 and CPIO Sh. A K Assija has provided the information vide letter dated 29.06.2020 within the time limit under RTI Act, 2005. The information given by CPIO of this Commission is as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. Hence, no further intervention is required on the part of the FAA in the matter.

5. The appeal is being disposed of accordingly.

6. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated – 1st September, 2020.

Meena Balimane
01/09/2020
(Meena Balimane Sharma)
First Appellate Authority
Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

- ✓ 1. Sh. Ram Kumar, CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.
 - ✓ 2. Sh. A K Assija, CPIO & DR to IC (VN), CIC, New Delhi.
- An*
02/09/20
- [Signature]*
2/9/20