Central Information Commission
Baba Gang Nath Marg,
Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067

CIC/AA/A/2020/
CICOM/A/E/20/00069
CICOM/R/E/20/00137

Name of the Appellant: Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta
Flat 1001, Block- C2B
Pocket 2, Golf Link Residency
Sector 18 B, Dwarka,
Delhi – 110078.

1.	Date of RTI application	22.02.2020
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	27.05.2020
4.	CPIO (s) who furnished reply	Sh. T. B. J. S. Rajappa
3.	1st Appeal Date	28.05.2020
5	Date of Decision	10.06.2020

Brief Facts of the case:-

1. The Appellant, through his RTI application, asked for following information:-
   1. Kindly provide what Hon'ble CIC official had done on suggestion to improve, CIC listing/disposal.
      One of undersigned suggestion is enclosed herewith.
   2. Kindly provide soft copy of records available with Central Information Commission related to Point 1 above in DVD of email to snehcs1@gmail.com.
   3. Kindly provide inspection of Point 1-2 records (this will be excised, when soft copy given by the Public Authority does not contain information given by undersigned. Or alternatively Point 1 soft copy denied.
   4. Kindly provide soft copy of records pointed out after inspection.

Reply of CPIO:-

2. Reply of Sh. T. B. J. S. Rajappa, CPIO is as follow:-
"Replied vide letter dated 27.5.2020. It is to mention that the saiddocuments/ enclosures mentioned in your RTI application have beenchecked thoroughly by the DR/Dak section of CIC and does notreflect to be received in the Appscom Software".

Ground of First Appeal:-

3. In First Appeal application, Appellant has mentioned that:-

"Information supplied is evasive and incomplete.Even for (Point number 1)reply is related to Undersigned request.(whereas silent on other suggestions). Even on Undersigned, suggestion are sent by Email to concernedofficial mentioned in the enclosed letters".
:LD CPIO should have sent RTI Memo to concerned Official namelySecretary CIC and Chief CIC., so that they provide help as per Section5(4) of RTI Act.E Mail letter is enclosed. Page 82-91
In the light of above, kindly advise the LD CPIO to supply the correct information sought

C. I. C./के० सू० आ०
RECEIVED
11 JUN 2020
D. No.....
Initials.....

Decision with reasons:-

4. On perusal of the Appeal, RTI application and RTI reply, it is observed that reply of the CPIO, Sh. T. B. J. S. Rajappa is as per information sought by the Appellant and as per provisions of RTI Act, 2005. Hence, no further intervention is required on the part of the FAA in the matter.

5. The appeal is being disposed of accordingly.

6. In case the Appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067 against this order within 90 days.

MBalimane 10/6/2020

Dated – 10th June, 2020.

(MeenaBalimane Sharma)
First Appellate Authority
Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

1. **Sh. T. B. J. S. Rajappa, CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.**

12/6/20