Central Information Commission
Baba Gang Nath Marg,
Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067



CICOM/A/P/20/00083
CICOM/R/P/20/00313

Name of the Appellant: Shri Shanti Swaroop
Flat No. 802, Block A,
Supertech Rameshwar Orchids,
H-1, Kaushambi, Ghaziabad – 201 010

1.	Date of RTI application	14.07.2020
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	20.08.2020
3.	CPIO (s) who furnished reply	Sh. K. L. Das, Former CPIO & DR to CIC
4.	Date of First Appeal application	31.08.2020
5.	Date of receipt of First Appeal application in office of the FAA	11.09.2020
6	Date of Decision	25.09.2020

1. Brief Facts of the case:-

The Appellant, through his RTI Application, referring contents of Second Appeal Order No. CIC/CGHSD/A/2018/154782-BJ dated 23.03.2020 made following submission:

> "In case, I abide the direction of Hon'ble CIC and initiate my claim on a form then authority of CGHS will say case submission is time barred. Keeping in view this point CGHS may be directed to pay me Rs. 418.00."

2. Reply of CPIO.

In response to the above RTI Application the Shri K. L. Das, former CPIO and DR to CIC informed the Appellant that, "Information called does not exist. You have made a request for giving fresh direction to the CGHS for payment of Rs. 480/- to you. This amounts to review of the Commission's order which not permissible under the RTI Act."

3. Ground of First Appeal:

Aggrieved with the reply sent by the CPIO, the Appellant has filed First Appeal. In his First Appeal Petition, the Appellant has not made any specific complain against the information supplied by the CPIO, CIC, but he has requested to supply the information pertaining to his above Second Appeal.

4. Decision with reasons:

On perusal of the Appeal, RTI application and the reply sent by the CPIO, it is observed that the Appellant has not asked for any specific information through his RTI Application, but

he has made a request to direct the concerned public authority in his Second Appeal No. CIC/CGHSD/A/2018/154782 to pay him Rs. 418.00. It is worth mentioning here that under the provisions of RTI Act, a citizen can only ask for information, which is held by the concerned public authority in material form. He cannot expect any action or cannot get his grievance redressed under RTI Act, 2005. Further the above Second Appeal of the Appellant has already been disposed off by the Commission vide its decision dated 23.03.2020, without any direction to the concerned public authority and there is no provision for review of the Commission's order.

In light of the above, the reply sent by the CPIO is factual and as per the provision of the RTI Act, 2005.

5. The appeal is being disposed of accordingly.

6. In case the Appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated – 25th September, 2020.

25/09/2020

(Meena Balimane Sharma)
First Appellate Authority
Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

1. **Sh. Ram Kumar, CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.**

13/10/20