## Central Information Commission Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067

CICOM/A/P/20/00123 CICOM/R/P/20/00485

Name of the Appellant:

Shri Omprakash Kashiram 3/16, Amol Apartments, Waldhuni, Kalyan - 421301.

| 1. | Date of RTI application                        | 29.10.2020       |
|----|--|------------------|
| 2. | Date of receipt of RTI application in RTI Cell | 11.11.2020       |
| 3. | Date of reply of the RTI application           | 27.11.2020       |
| 4. | CPIO (s) who furnished reply                   | Shri A.K. Assija |
| 5. | Date of First Appeal application               | 05.12.2020       |
| 6. | Date of receipt of First Appeal in the O/o FAA | 29.12.2020       |
| 7. | Date of Decision                               | 20.01.2021       |

## Brief Facts of the case:-

1. The following are the information sought by the Appellant through his RTI application dated 29.10.2020 and the replies provided by the CPIO vide letter dated 27.11.2020.

| SI. | Information sought by Appellant   | Part and the Chicago   |
|-----|---|--|
|     |   | Reply provided by CPIO, CIC  |
| 1   | Please provide the documents under Sec.20 of RTI Act 2005 and Sec.5(1) of RTI Act 2005 regarding the copies of appointment letters for appointment of the PIOs for the decision No. CIC/MOSPI/A/2019/161018 dated 08.10.2020 from the competent authority u/s 5(1) and Sec.20 of RTI Act 2005.  | No such information is available on record as sought for by the appellant. It is informed you that after perusal of E-book of the case, a notice of hearing is served to CPIO as well as to the appellant.                                     |
| 2   | Please provide the documents under Sec.20 of RTI Act 2005 and Sec.5(1) of RTI Act 2005 regarding the copies of appointment letters for appointment of the first appellate authority for the Decision No. CIC/MOSPI/A/2019/161018 dated 08.10.2020 from the competent authority u/s 5(1) and Sec.20 of RTI Act 2005  | No such information is available on record as sought for by the appellant. It is informed you that after perusal of E-book of the case, a copy of the notice of the hearing is endorsed to the first appellate authority, for his information. |
| 3   | Please provide the documents regarding the replies from the PIO dated 30.09.2020 addressed to Central Government employee i.e. Shri AK Assiji, Dy. Registrar and same is not addressed to Applicant for reply or original RTI Application and second appeal and previous PIO reply dated 01.10.2019 are creating double statement and biased case. Copy of Reply for point No. 1 to 13 from PIO may be provided in point-wise addressed to Shri Omprakash Kashiram not Shri AK Assiji of Central Government employee. In this case, it is found that Smt. Vanaja N Sarna, Information Commissioner is involved in irregularities and corruption for issue biased order. | A copy of letter No.M-11013/1/2019-NAD-1 dated 30.09.2019 received by the Commission from Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, National Statistical Office, National Accounts Division, New Delhi, is attached.                |





|       |   | 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2  |
|-------|---|--|
| 4 P   | Please provide documents regarding Smt.   | All the points have been discussed in the order.   |
| 7     | Vanaia N Sarna, IC has not indicated all  |  |
| r     | coints from 1 to 13 in his enclosed order.  |  |
| l î   | In other words, the copy of rules /   |  |
| 1     | procedures and section of RTI Act 2005  |  |
| 1 5   | Smt. Vanaja N Sarna. IC has issued Xerox  |  |
|       | copy of enclosed her order without  |  |
| 1.    | indicating all points in his decision which   |  |
|       |   |  |
| 1     | is irregularities and full support to corruption in other meaning the order itself  |  |
| (     | corruption in other meaning the order resort  |  |
|       | indicating there is corruption.   | The address of the Commission given in the Order   |
| 5   1 | Please provide the documents regarding  | under rescrence is complete i.e. Central   |
|       | the Commission are not use their paper the device full name/ address of   | Information Commission, Baba Gangnath Marg,  |
|       | near with this name, accress so   | Munirka, New Delhi – 110067.   |
|       | commission in their numbers of order and  | Munica, 1000 Benne   |
|       | enclosed order. In other words, the   |  |
|       | commission still not uses letter head for   |  |
|       | issue of decision and other paper they have   |  |
| - 1   | not giving full address of commission.  |  |
|       | The documents may be provided regarding   |  |
|       | the commission is using full address in   |  |
|       | their decision, the present address is not  |  |
|       | correct i.e. Central Information  |  |
|       | Commission, Baba Gangnath Marg,   |  |
| i     | Munirka, New Delhi – 110067 which is  | •  |
|       | incomplete address in number of decisions.  |  |
|       | Copy of exemption for PIO for not   | No such information is available on record.  |
| 6     | indicating their name in place of enclosed  |  |
| į     | indicating their name in place of cholose   |  |
|       | order i.e. Omprakash Kashiram Vs. CPIO of enclosed CIC Decision may be  | •  |
|       | 02  |  |
|       | provided.   | No such information is available on record.  |
| 7     | Copy of exemption for issue of Xerox copy   | No such information is available of  |
|       | of order in place of original copy of order   |  |
|       | issued by Smt. Vanaja N Sarna, IC in  |  |
|       | enclosed order.   | The order issued by the Information  |
| 8     | Copy of exemption for not signed enclosed   |  |
|       | order by all 11 commissioners including   | Commissioner, is referred to as the Order issued by the Commission. All the Commissioners do not |
|       | Chief Information Commissioners on  | the Commission. All the Commission A conv  |
| }     | indication by Smt. Vanaja N Sarna, IC in  | sign the Order issued by the Commission. A copy  |
|       | decision Para of enclosed order where he  | of the Order certified by the concerned Dy.  |
|       | explained. This commission finds that the   | Registrar is sent to the parties to the case.  |
|       | respondent had addressed all the points of  |  |
|       | the RTI Application and therefore no  |  |
|       | the RTI Application and increase  |  |
|       | action is called for.   | No such information is available on record.  |
| 9     | Copy of comply provision of RTI Act 2005  |  |
|       | for not indicated orders of FAAs. In other  | W <sub>e</sub> up  |
| 1     | words copy of exempted for not comply of  | £ 1  |
|       | order from FAA for issue of hard copy of  | 4 4  |
|       | reply to Applicant in point-wise.   | Gaby of the Order certified by the Dy. Registrar of  |
| 10    | Copy of exemption for not signed order  |  |
| 10    | with blue pen by Smt. Vanaja N Sarna, ic  | -f the Order duly signe  |
|       | and same original order not issued to Silli   | However, one had Moroover vo   |
|       | Omprakash Kashiram for his second   | by the by. Regional Diesel by the concerned D  |
| 1     | appeal may be provided for avoiding the   | may see the order  |
|       | cheating and fraud to the public of India   | Registrar is sent to the parties to the case.  |
|       | for issue of bogus/ unlawful order.   |  |
|       | TOT ISSUE OF DOGUMENT OF THE SERVE HAVE   | d No such information is available on record.  |
| 1 1   | 1 Copies of exemption for not issue hard  | 1  |
| 1 1.  | copy of reply for point wise from point no  | ुं ।<br>ज  |
| 1     | 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1   |  |
|       | 1 to 13 may be provided u/s 3(1) of K1  | 2  |
|       | 1 to 13 may be provided u/s 5(1) of K1  | 0  |
|       | 1 to 13 may be provided u/s 5(1) of K1 Act 2005 for reducing GDP from 7% to 5% and this is corruption and irregularities  | S. S   |
|       | 1 to 13 may be provided u/s 5(1) of R1 Act 2005 for reducing GDP from 7% to 5% and this is corruption and irregularities  | s.  No such information is available on record.  |
|       | 1 to 13 may be provided u/s 5(1) of R1 Act 2005 for reducing GDP from 7% to 5% and this is corruption and irregularities  Copies of provisions under which PIOs ar issue revised orders after lapse of 30+3 | e . No such information is available on record.  |
|       | 1 to 13 may be provided u/s 5(1) of K1 Act 2005 for reducing GDP from 7% to 5% and this is corruption and irregularities  | e . No such information is available on record.  |

|    | appeal addressed in name of central government employee Shri AK Assiji.  |  |
|----|--|--|
| 13 | Point wise reply is coming u/s 2(f) of RTI Act 2005 as per the enclosed decision of Smt. Vanaja N Sarna, IC may be provided. Please provide the documents some stories narrated by PIOs are coming in sec.2(f) of RTI Act 2005. The names of documents for point no.1 to 13 may be provided which is coming under revised replies of CPIOs as indicated in enclosed order. | No such information is available on record.  |
| 14 | Name of commissioner is/are not signed and issue enclosed order with blue pen and issued Xerox copy.   | Copy of the Order certified by the Dy. Registrar of<br>the concerned Registry is sent to the parties.<br>However, one more copy of the Order, duly signed<br>by the Dy. Registrar is attached. |

## Ground of First Appeal:-

3. Information not provided by PIO, CIC, New Delhi.

## Decision with reasons:-

- 4. On perusal of the First Appeal petition, RTI application and reply given by the CPIO, it is observed that reply given by Shri A.K.Assija, CPIO, CIC is factual and as per the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. As per the provision of Section 2(f) of RTI Act, 2005 only such information as is available and existing and held by the public authority or is under control of the public authority can be provided by a PIO. The PIO is not supposed to create or collect information that is not a part of the record. He is also not required to interpret information or decision of CIC. Therefore, no intervention is required on behalf of the FAA in this matter.
- 5. The appeal is being disposed of accordingly.
- 6. In case the Appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi  $-110\,067$  against this order within 90 days.

(Meena Balimane Sharma)

First Appellate Authority

Tel: 26162290

Dated: 20th January, 2021.

Copy to:-

1. CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.

2. Shri A.K.Assija, CPIO, CIC, New Delhi.