


Central Information Commission  
Baba Gang Nath Marg,  
Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067

CICOM/A/P/21/00017  
CICOM/R/P/20/00484

Name of the Appellant: Shri Omprakash Kashiram  
3/16, Amol Apartment,  
Waldhuni, Kalyan - 421301.



1.	Date of RTI application	01.11.2020
2.	Date of receipt of RTI application in RTI Cell/CPIO	11.11.2020
3.	Date of reply of the RTI application	07.12.2020
4.	CPIO (s) who furnished reply	Shri A.K.Assija
5.	Date of First Appeal application	11.01.2021
6.	Date of receipt of First Appeal in the office of FAA	04.02.2021
7.	Date of Decision	05.03.2021

**Brief Facts of the case:-**

- The information sought by the RTI Applicant, with reference to CIC order No. CIC/MOENF/A/2020/109713, and point-wise reply by Shri A.K.Assija, CPIO are as under.

Sl.	Information sought by RTI Application No. CICOM/R/P/20/00484 dated 01.11.2020	Reply given by CPIO-CIC
1	Copies of appointment letters for appointment of PIO in respect of above CIC order.	No such information is available on record as sought for by the appellant. It is informed you that after perusal of the E-book of the case, a notice of hearing is served to CPIO as well as to the appellant.
2	Copies of appointment letters for appointment of FAA in respect of above CIC order.	No such information is available on record as sought for by the appellant. It is informed you that after perusal of the E-book of the case, a copy of the notice of the hearing is endorsed to the first appellate authority, for his information.
3	Copy of rules/procedures and section of RTI Act 2005 for issuing Xerox copy of her order by Smt Vanaja N Sarna, IC.	It is stated that the query relates to the order issued by the Hon'ble Information Commissioner. CPIO is not expected to interpret/create information out of the verdict of a quasi-judicial authority. CPIO can only provide the information that exists on record and that too only on administrative side of the system.
4.	Documents regarding the Commission is not using their paper head with full name/address of Commission in their number of orders.	The address of the Commission given in the Order under reference is complete i.e. Central Information Commission, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067.
5	Copy of exemption for PIO for not indicating their name in the CIC orders.	No such information is available on record.
6	Copy of exemption for issue of Xerox copy of order in place of original copy of order by Smt Vanaja N Sarna, IC	No such information is available on record.
7	Copy of exemption for not issuing signed order by all 11 Commissioners.	The Order issued by the Information Commissioner, is referred to as the Order issued by the Commission. All the Commissioners do not sign the Order issued by the Commission. A copy of the Order certified by the concerned Dy.Registrar is sent to the parties to the case.

8	Copy of exemption for not issuing signed order with blue pen and original order not issued by Smt Vanaja N Sarna, IC.	Copy of the Order certified by the Dy.Registrar of the concerned Registry is sent to the parties. However, one more copy of the Order, duly signed by the Dy. Registrar is attached. Moreover, you may see the Order issued by the Commission on the website of the Commission also i.e. <a href="https://cic.gov.in">https://cic.gov.in</a> .
9	Name of Commissioner is/are not signing orders with blue pen and issuing Xerox copy.	Please refer to reply at Point 8 above.
10	Documents regarding the RTI Matters of second appeal not taken to the Central Government for issue of order the research is to be done under the Article 51 of Constitution of India.	No such information is available on record.
11	Documents the matters of RTI application is not pertaining to M/o Environment and Forest and Climate Change, New Delhi instead of International Cooperation Division.	No such information is available on record.

### Ground of First Appeal:-


III. Information not provided by PIO, CIC, New Delhi.

### Decision with reasons:-

IV. On perusal of the First Appeal petition, RTI application and reply given by the CPIO, it is observed that reply given by Shri A.K.Assija, CPIO, CIC is factual and as per the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. As per the provision of Section 2(f) of RTI Act, 2005 only such information as is available and existing and held by the public authority or is under control of the public authority can be provided by a PIO. The PIO is not supposed to create or collect information that is not a part of the record. He is also not required to interpret information or decision of CIC. Therefore, no intervention is required on behalf of the FAA in this matter.

V. The appeal is being disposed of accordingly.

VI. In case the Appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067 against this order within 90 days.

  
 (Meena Balimane Sharma)  
 First Appellate Authority  
 Tel: 26162290

Dated – 5<sup>th</sup> March, 2021.

Copy to:-

- ✓ 1. CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.
- ✓ 2. Shri A.K.Assija, CPIO, CIC.

*Handwritten initials and date: 08/03/21*

*Handwritten signature and date: 08-3-21*