

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

CIC Bhawan, Baba Gang Nath Marg,

Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067

CICOM/A/P/21/00058

CICOM/R/P/21/00190

Name of the Appellant: Shri Adarsh Kumar Handa

WZ 3398, Mahindra Park

Shakur Basti

Delhi - 110034

1.	Date of RTI application	25.03.2021
2.	Date of receipt of RTI application in RTI Cell/CPIO	06.04.2021
3.	Date of reply of the RTI application	12.04.2021
4.	CPIO (s) who furnished reply	Shri R. P. Grover
5.	Date of First Appeal application	13.05.2021
6.	Date of receipt of First Appeal in the office of FAA	21.06.2021
7.	Date of Decision	16.07.2021

I. Brief of the case

The information sought by the applicant and the reply given by Shri R.P. Grover, CPIO, CIC are as under with regard to his second appeal No. CIC/GNCTD/A/2017/124975.

Sl.	Information sought by Applicant	CPIO Reply
1.	Copy of the reply received from IPGCL in respect of information sought after	Copy of reply received from the IPGCL vide letter 05.06.2018 is enclosed. Copy of this letter was also endorsed to you also by the respondent authority.
2.	If no information as such has been received from IPGCL then copy of the final action taken report in CIC file No. CIC/GNCTD/A/2017/124975.	As the information has been received, matter was put to the then Information Commissioner on 16.07.2018 for compliance of the decision (Copy enclosed)

II. Grounds for First Appeal

The appellant has mentioned that he has not satisfied with the reply of the CPIO.

III. Decision of FAA

The First Appeal petition, RTI application and reply given by the CPIO have been seen. The delay in submission of First Appeal has been condoned in view of his hospitalisation.

The appellant may note that as per Section 2(f) of RTI Act, 2005 only such information as is available and existing and held by the public authority or is under control of the public authority can be provided by a PIO. The PIO is not supposed to create or collect information that is not a part of the record.

As per the note of CPIO to IC(YA), the appellant was also advised to see any record on the subject matter, if he so desires. The reply furnished by CPIO is appropriate and as per the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. Therefore, no intervention on behalf of FAA is required in this matter.

IV. The appeal is being disposed of accordingly.

V. In case the Appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he/she is free to file second appeal, if he/she so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated – 16th July, 2021.

Copy to:-

1. Shri Subodh Kumar, CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC.
2. Shri R.P. Grover, CPIO, CIC.

