

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**

CIC Bhawan, Baba Gang Nath Marg,

Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067

CICOM/A/P/21/00066

CICOM/R/P/21/00241

Name of the Appellant: Shri Omprakash Kashiram  
Amol Apartment  
3/16, Waldhuni,  
Kalyan, Maharashtra 421301

1.	Date of RTI application	28.04.2021
2.	Date of receipt of RTI application in RTI Cell/CPIO	10.05.2021
3.	Date of reply of the RTI application	20.05.2021
4.	CPIO (s) who furnished reply	Shri S.C.Sharma
5.	Date of First Appeal application	11.06.2021
6.	Date of receipt of First Appeal in the office of FAA	05.07.2021
7.	Date of Decision	29.07.2021

**I. Brief of the case**

The information sought (in brief) by the applicant relates to CIC order No.CIC/SEBIH/A/2019/132746 dated 30.03.2021. Point-wise replies given by CPIO of CIC are as under.

Sl.	Information sought by Applicant	CPIO Reply
1	Please provide copies of inquiry done u/s 18(3) of RTI Act 2005 for point No. 1 to 13 mentioned in above CIC order, duly attested by PIO with name and designation.	No specific inquiry was got conducted. On the basis of the hearing notice, the matter was heard while the appellant was not present despite notice. The respondent, Shri Santosh Sharma, CPIO attended the hearing and taking into consideration the documents submitted by the appellant and the written submission made by the respondent coupled with his submissions during the hearing the matter was decided by the Commission.
2	Please provide copy of appointment letter of PIO, SEBI whose reply has been considered for records of CIC in the above decision.	No copy of appointment letter of PIO is obtained from the Public Authority. However, as indicated in order Shri Santosh Sharma, CPIO attended the hearing in case No.CIC/SEBIH/A/2019/132746.
3	Please provide copy of appointment letter of FAA whose reply has been taken by Commissioner in Commission records.	No such document is available in this regard in the file.
4	Documents for requisitioning any public records or copies thereof from any court or office may be provided in r/o above CIC decision.	Copy of Written submission received from the CPIO/Public authority is enclosed.
5	Please provide the documents regarding not issue of Original order with blue pen signature with mentioning all points in above CIC order.	The query raised is not specific and does not fall u/s 2(f) of RTI.
6	Copy of exemption for PIO for not indicating their name in the above Order.	Name of the appellant as well as the CPIO is specifically mentioned in the order.
7	Please give the reasons for not indicated the name of PIO in the above order.	Interpretation of CIC order does not fall under the purview of the CPIO. However, the name of the party, respondent along with the name of the CPIO is indicated in the order.
8	Copies of evidence collected by the Commissioner for his claim regarding the documents were issued with signature of PIO with name and designation mentioned in the above decision.	No such document is available in the file.
9	Shri R Sitarama Murthy has signed the above decision in blue pen and Shri Neeraj Kumar Gupta has not signed order with blue pen.	The query raised does not fall u/s 2(f) of RTI Act. It is, however, relevant to mention that authenticated true copy of order was signed by Mr.S.C Sharma and not Shri R.Sitarama Murthy.
10	Please provide the document regarding SEBI is autonomous body u/s 2(h) of RTI Act 2005 as per inquiry of above appeal decision and Shri Santosh Kumar, CPIO has not indicated his designation.	No such information is available in the file. The applicant, however, if desires, may inspect the file in question on any mutually agreed date and time.

*Handwritten signature/initials*

**II. Grounds for First Appeal**


"Information not provided by M/o Personnel, Public Grievances and Pension."

**III. Decision of FAA**

The First Appeal petition, RTI application and reply given by the CPIO have been seen. The point-wise replies furnished by CPIO are appropriate and as per the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. Therefore, no intervention by FAA is necessary in the matter.

IV. The appeal is being disposed of accordingly.

V. In case the Appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he/she so desires, before the Central Information Commission. Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067 against this order within 90 days.

  
(Ajitkumar Vasantrao Sontakke)  
First Appellate Authority  
Tel: 26181921

Dated - 29<sup>th</sup> July, 2021.

Copy to:-

1. Shri Subodh Kumar, CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC.
2. Shri S.C.Sharma, CPIO, CIC.

25/07/21

29/7

