Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067	CIC Bhawan Baha Gang Nath Marg	CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
------------------------------	--------------------------------	--------------------------------

FA No. CICOM/A/P/21/00117 RTI No.CICOM/R/P/21/00432

~

Name of Appellant: Shri Gopal Krishan A-51, Subhavana Niketan Pitampura, DELHI - 110034.

-	Date of RTI application	04.09.2021
Ņ	Date of receipt of RTI application in RTI Cell/CPIO	Various dates
ω	Date of reply of the RTI application	[As mentioned below]
.4	CPIO (s) who furnished reply	[As mentioned below]
Ω	Date of First Appeal application	07.10.2021
<u>ი</u>	Date of receipt of First Appeal in the office of FAA	21.10.2021
7.	Date of Decision	18.11.2021

Brief of the case

-

The information sought by the applicant and the reply given by concerned CPIO-CIC are as under.

			<u></u>
≡	=:		
Letter No.14/2020 dt.03.08.2020 about CPIO (IC-SP): complaint against CPIO and FAA of Letter under re DoPT due to abse Appellant is re	Letter No.13/2020 dt.05.10.2020 about misleading/ false information given by CPIO, D/o Expenditure.	Letter No.12/2020 dated 05.10.2020 addressed to the Hon'ble IC-SC with the request that the application made as back as the 07.01.2014 may be considered on priority basis and decision of the Commission communicated to me.	RTI request
CPIO (IC-SP): Letter under reference could not be located due to absence of Diary/file number. Appellant is requested to provide the same.	CPIO(CR-II) through CPIO (DAK Section): Linked to File No.CIC/SS/A/2012/001972 on 09.10.2020. You may like to take up the issue directly with the CPIO (IC-SC).	CPIO(CR-II) through CPIO (DAK Section): Linked to File No.CIC/AT/A/2010/000161 on 09.10.2020. You may like to take up the issue directly with the CPIO (IC-SC).	CPIO Reply
No First Appeal received.	Requested clarification whether a copy of reply forwarded by CPIO (Dak Section) to CPIO (IC-SC).	Requested clarification whether a copy of reply forwarded by CPIO (Dak Section) to CPIO (IC-SC).	Grounds for First Appeal
Not applicable.		Subsequent to letter dt.22.09.2021 of CPIO (Dak Section), the CPIO (IC-SC) had informed vide letter dated 11.10.2021 that no action is warranted on the letters of the appellant. No intervention is required by FAA-CIC.	Decision of FAA

FA No. CICOM/A/P/21/00117

Сор	Date :	< <	<	2
Copy to:- 1. CPIO (RTI Cell), CIC.	9: 18 th November,2021.	The appeal is disposed off accordingly. In case the Appellant is aggrieved by t Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New I	Letter No.16/2020 dt.15.06.2020 about incomplete/ misleading/ false information in compliance of the direction of the CIC.	Letter No.15/2020 dt.27.07.2020 about complaint against CPIO and FAA of DoPT
		The appeal is disposed off accordingly. In case the Appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he/she is free to file second appeal, if he/she so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067 against this order within 90 days.	CPIO(CR-II) through CPIO (DAK Section): Linked to File No.CIC/RM/A/2014/001464 on 25.06.2020. You may like to take up the issue directly with the CPIO (IC-SP).	CPIO(CR-II) through CPIO (DAK Section): Linked to File No.CIC/SB/A/2015/000347 on 04.08.2020. You may like to take up the issue directly with the CPIO (IC-SP).
		nd appeal, if he/she so desires, befo in 90 days.	Requested clarification whether a copy of reply forwarded by CPIO (Dak Section) to CPIO (IC-SP).	Requested clarification whether a copy of reply forwarded by CPIO (Dak Section) to CPIO (IC-SP).
	(Ajitkumar Vasantrao Sontakke) First Appellate Authority Tel: 26181921	re the Central Information Commission,		Subsequent to letter dt.22.09.2021 of CPIO (Dak Section), the CPIO (IC- SP) had forwarded the replies (received from the CPIO) to the Appellant vide letter dated 12.10.2021. No intervention is required by FAA-CIC

.

,

FA No. CICOM/A/P/21/00117

Page 2