



Central Information Commission  
Baba Gang, Nath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067

CICOM/A/P/24/00085

CICOM/R/P/24/00259

Name of the Appellant: Sh. B.C. Parchha

1.	Date of RTI application	14.06.2024
2.	Date of receipt of RTI application in RTI Cell of CIC	19.06.2024
3.	Date of reply of the RTI application	20.06.2024
4.	CPIO (s) who furnished reply	Col S.S. Chhikara, CPIO (DR to IC AR)
5.	Date of First Appeal application	16.07.2024
6.	Date of First Appeal application received in the office of FAA	23.07.2024
7.	Date of Decision	16.08.2024

**Brief Facts of the case:-**

1. The Appellant has attached details of with his RTI Application and sought the following information: -

- “1. The Appellant want to know whether further action taken or not taken on my representation dated 25.04.2023 and 10.05.2023 by the Commission as per RTI act 2005, or kept in cold storage. The same further action taken attested copy is required otherwise it is to make fun of RTI Act, 2005.
2. 82 years old super senior citizen want to appointment with Chief Information Commissioner due to officials matter.
3. Is it Compulsory the Commission may be referred to the decision of the Hon’ble High Court of Delhi to support the Commission decision no CIC/SBIND/A/2020/131008 dated the 02.06.2022 and direct the Respondent to inform to the Appellant the status of his Complaint without divulging the details of the Investigation/Inquiry instead of the Appellant required information. The same is not correct. Thus, role and responsibility of the Commission become questionable.”

**Reply of CPIO's:-**

2. Point no 1. – Applicants representation dated 25.04.2023 has been received and diarized vide diary no. 118835 dated 25.04.2023. Thereafter, the same has been scrutinized and returned to sender vide facilitation memo no. 118835/23 dated 28.04.2023(copy enclosed).Further, applicant’s representation dated 10.05.2023 has been registered vide

Registration no. CIC/CICOM/A/2023/12132 and pending for adjudication in the Commission.

Point no 2. – Seeking appointment with an officer by an Applicant is not covered under RTI Act, 2005.

Point no 3. – The sought information is clarification in nature hence exempted u/s 2 (f) of the RTI Act, 2005.

**Ground of First Appeal:-**

3. Aggrieved with the reply of CPIO's, the Appellant has filed First Appeal and stated that:

“It is prayer that since the required information have not been provided to the appellant by the CPIO and whatever intimation have been given that is also not correct.

I humbly submit that aforesaid information along with copy of the u/s 2(f) of RTI Act – 2005 and seeking appointment with an officer by an Appellant is not covered under the RTI Act 2005, may be provided to the appellant urgently but not later than one month where after I shall approach proper forum in the matter.”

**Decision with reasons:-**

4. On perusal of the Appeal, RTI application and reply of the CPIO, it is observed that the information given by the CPIO is as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. Therefore, no further intervention is required on the part of FAA in the matter.

5. The appeal is being disposed of accordingly.

6. In case the Appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067 against this order within 90 days.

**Dated – 16.08.2024**



(Brig. VipinChakrawarti)  
Registrar & First Appellate Authority  
Tel: 011-26105021

**Copy to:-**

1. CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi for information.