



Central Information Commission
Baba Gang, Nath Marg
Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067

CICOM/A/P/25/00035

CICOM/R/P/24/00508

Name of the Appellant: Shri. Shashikant

1.	Date of RTI application	13.11.2024
2.	Date of receipt of RTI application in RTI Cell of CIC	28.11.2024
3.	Date of reply of the RTI application	19.12.2024
4.	CPIO (s) who furnished reply	Shri Babu Lal CPIO, (Dak Section)
5.	Date of First Appeal application	15.01.2025
6.	Date of First Appeal application received in the office of FAA	28.01.2025
7.	Date of Decision	14.02.2025

12
14/2/25

Brief Facts of the case:-

1. The Appellant has attached details of with his RTI Application and sought the following information: -
- “1.) Provide inward register details of the above-mentioned second appeal.
2.) Provide the status of the above-mentioned second appeal.
3.) Provide details of file generated.
4.) Provide name of competent staff with designation who has handled this appeal.
5.) In case file not generated till date, provide reasons recorded on record for delay in the subject matter.”

2. Reply of CPIO:-

“Point 1.) – Your dak/letter dated 11.09.2023 has been received and diarized vide diary no. 138868/2023 dated 20.09.2023, thereafter the same has been registered vide registration no. CIC/SBIND/A/2023/138868. Complaint/Appeal admitted and Scrutiny has been sent to concern Registry (Central Registration) for further necessary action.

Point 2.) – The matter has been sent to concern Registry for further necessary action.

Point 3 to 5.) – No such information is available in the records.

Point 6.) - Brig. Vipin Chakrawarti, Registrar & First Appellate Authority (FAA), CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi – 110067.”

3. Ground of First Appeal:

Aggrieved with the reply of CPIO, the Appellant has filed First Appeal and stated that:

“Being aggrieved, the petitioner is entitled to file appeal with the appellate authority. Petitioner submits his contention as under:

- 1.) The appellant has requested status of his second appeal sent through post on 11/09/2023 (see Annexure A1 for details).
- 2.) It seems that the Dak section is not monitoring the second appeals properly. Therefore, the appellant is re – enclosing the complete set of second appeal along with this first appeal.
- 3.) If the subject matter does not pertain to the office, it is the duty of the PIO to transfer the request to the concerned authority u/s 6 (3) of the RTI Act. All such activities were not adhered by the respondent.
- 4.) In case it seems that the respondent (CPIO) is not keen on implementing the RTI Act and hence are dealing with RTI related matter as per their will and wish and therefore not adhering to the provisions of RTI Act sincerely and seriously.
- 5.) In this case the respondent has not maintained transparency, openness and accountability which are the objective of the RTI Act 2005.”

4. Decision with reasons:-

The First Appeal, RTI application and reply given by CPIO of CIC have been perused.

The reply given by the CPIO is appropriate and as per the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. Hence, no intervention is required on behalf of the FAA in this matter.

5. The appeal is being disposed of accordingly.

6. In case the Appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated – 14.02.2025



Registrar & First Appellate Authority
Tel: 011-26105021

Copy to:-

1. CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi for information.

Handwritten: 14-2-25

Handwritten: o/c

