



Central Information Commission
Baba Gang, Nath Marg
Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067

CICOM/A/P/25/00039
CICOM/R/P/25/00029

Name of the Appellant: Sh. Pradip Kumar Ray



1.	Date of RTI application	05.01.2025
2.	Date of receipt of RTI application in RTI Cell of CIC	17.01.2025
3.	Date of reply of the RTI application	17.01.2025
4.	CPIO (s) who furnished reply	Sh. S. Anantharaman, CPIO (DR to IC VT)
5.	Date of First Appeal application	27.01.2025
6.	Date of First Appeal application received in the office of FAA	03.02.2025
7.	Date of Decision	28.02.2025

1. Brief Facts of the case:-

The Appellant has attached details of with his RTI Application and sought the following information: -

“Respectfully I am to request you to kindly to intimate the information regarding the present position of my Appeal – application dated 31st July 2024 to the Chief Information Commission (Diary No. 125098 dated 05.08.2024).

The relevant File is File No. CIC/CCKUL/A/2023/117332 date of decision 02.07.2024.”

2. Reply of CPIO's:-

“No action has been taken. In your application dated 31.07.2024, diarized in CIC vide No. 125098 dated 05.08.2024, you had requested the Commission to review its own order dated 02.07.2024, as you were

dissatisfied with the said order. The RTI Act, 2005, does not empower the Information Commissioner to review its own order.”

3. Ground of First Appeal:-

Aggrieved with the reply of CPIO's, the Appellant has filed First Appeal and stated that:

“Having been aggrieved with the Order dated 02.07.2024 issued on conclusion of Personal Hearing held on 23.06.2024 on the Matter as in the File No. CIC/CCKUL/A/2023/117332, I submitted a Review Petition to the Central Information Commissioner on the said Order vide my prayer dated 31.07.2024.

2. In response to my RTI Application, the CPIO has pointed out that the RTI Act, 2005, does not empower the Information Commissioner to review its own order. Vide his letter no. CICOM/R/P/25/00029 dated 17.01.2025. However, I have a scope to prefer an appeal against the aforesaid provision of the RTI Act, 2005. It appears that in response to me appeal to the First Appellate Authority no comment on my Review Petition may be forthcoming, as there is no scope for giving opinion under cover of furnishing information. Moreover, since Central Information Commission is a quasi – judicial authority, the judiciary may be appropriate and competent authority for conducting review of the Order dated 02.07.2024 of the Central Information Commission.

Since, I am in a fix over the matter stated above, I would you request you kindly to intimate the detail of the Authority who is empowered to review the order of the Central Information Commission. For your ready reference, I am sending herewith copies of CIC's Order dated 02.07.2024 and my Review –Appeal to the CIC.”

4. Decision with reasons:-

The First Appeal, RTI application and reply given by CPIO of CIC have been perused.

The reply given by the CPIO is appropriate and as per the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. Hence, no intervention is required on behalf of the FAA in this matter.

5. The appeal is being disposed of accordingly.

6. In case the Appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated – 28.02.2025



Registrar & First Appellate Authority
Tel: 011-26105021

Copy to:-

1. CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi for information.

*Ms
28-2-25*

o/c

C. I. C. / के. सू. आ.
RECEIVED
28 FEB 2025
D. No.
Initials. *Deef*

*mg ①
Leths*