



Central Information Commission
Baba Gang, Nath Marg
Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067

CICOM/A/P/25/00046

CICOM/R/P/25/00007

Name of the Appellant: Shri. B. Mahendran
S/o Balakrishnan

1.	Date of RTI application	31.12.2024
2.	Date of receipt of RTI application in RTI Cell of CIC	06.01.2025
3.	Date of reply of the RTI application	09.01.2025
4.	CPIO (s) who furnished reply	Shri S. Anantharaman CPIO, (DR to IC VT)
5.	Date of First Appeal application	30.01.2025
6.	Date of First Appeal application received in the office of FAA	12.02.2025
7.	Date of Decision	14.02.2025

Brief Facts of the case:-

1. The Appellant has attached details of with his RTI Application and sought the following information: -

“1.) Central Information Commission order No. CIC/WB/A/2006/00144, provide me with a copy of the order issued for information and

2.) Central Information Commission order No. ICPB/A -15/CIC/2006, provide me with a copy of the order issued for information.

I hereby confirm that the information I am requesting is not prohibited information under section 8 of The Right to information Act, 2005. I have also enclosed a Indian postal order for Ten Rupees. I would

like to inform you that willing to pay any fee for further information. I request you to respond within 30 days for further information.”

2. Reply of CPIO:-

1. “Since, you are not the party to the case, nor the third party has authorized you by a letter, nor disclosure of the information warranted in the large public interest, the information sought is exempted under section 8 (1) (j) of the RTI Act, 2005.

2. Not available in the records. ”

3. Ground of First Appeal:-

Aggrieved with the reply of CPIO, the Appellant has filed First Appeal and stated that:

“I am residing at the above address. On the last date 31.12.2024. I had petitioned the public information officer in their office for information. The public information in their office was rejected my request saying that information. I asked for was third party information. As The Hon’ble Madras High Court may give information to a third – party W.P. No. 16070 of 2009 and M.P.NO. 1 of 2009 ordinance has decreased. I have also attached a copy of the order passed by The Hon’ble Madras High Court. Therefore, I am filling my first appeal with the appellate authority. So I humble request you to reply the information within 30 days.”

4. Decision with reasons:-


The First Appeal, RTI application and reply given by CPIO is CIC have been perused. As per section 8(1) (j) information which relates to personal information the disclosure of which has no relationship to any public activity of interest, or which would cause unwarranted invasion of the privacy of the individual may be exempted from disclosure unless the Central Public Information Officer of the State Public Information Officer or the appellate authority, as the case may be, is satisfied that the larger public interest justifies the disclosure of such information.

Accordingly, the reply given by the CPIO is appropriate and as per the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. Hence, no intervention is required on behalf of the FAA in this matter.

5. The appeal is being disposed of accordingly.

6. In case the Appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated – 14.02.2025



Registrar & First Appellate Authority
Tel: 011-26105021

Copy to:-

1. CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi for information.

Handwritten notes:
14-2-25
Delhi
14/2/25

Handwritten note: o/c

