



Central Information Commission
Baba Gang, Nath Marg
Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067

CICOM/A/P/25/00070
CICOM/R/P/25/00123

Name of the Appellant: Shri Anil Khanna

1.	Date of RTI application	24.02.2025
2.	Date of receipt of RTI application in RTI Cell of CIC	25.02.2025
3.	Date of reply of the RTI application	28.02.2025
4.	CPIO (s) who furnished reply	Sh. S.K.Chitkara CPIO, (DR to CIC)
5.	Date of First Appeal application	06.03.2025
6.	Date of First Appeal application received in the office of FAA	10.03.2025
7.	Date of Decision	04.04.2025

1. Brief Facts of the case:-

The Appellant has attached details of with his RTI Application and sought the following information: -

“1. Relevant Orders of Hon’ble Court by which decision already announced in open and is on public domain by Hon’ble Chief Information Commissioner Shri Heeralal Samariya in Second appeal No. CIC/AIIMS/A/2023/646427 (date of decision 07.06.2024, enclosed marked page No. 1-3) wherein without permission taken from the Hon’ble CBI Court by Shri Pradeep Kumar Jain was denied to be provided information and now by cleverly representing Mr. Pradeep Kumar Jain, as written in orders on behalf of his Daughter Ms Khushi Jain (applicant) before CIC in above three 2nd Appeal matters can be provided said information against Mr. Anil Khanna/ M/S A.K. Builders who is witness in the said matter in CBI Court against Mr. Pradeep Kumar Jain.

2.) Copy of orders of permission taken from Shri Ankit Singla, Special Judge (PC-ACT), CBI – 02, Room no 606, 6th floor Rouse Avenue Courts, New Delhi by Representative Mr. Pradeep Kumar Jain father of Ms. Khushi Jain Submitted before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner Shri Heeralal Samariya in Second appeal in all three cases on before 21.01.2025.

3.) Copy of further amended orders given by Shri Heeralal Samariya, Chief Information Commissioner after the decisions given in order No CIC/AIIMS/A/2024/601764, CIC/AIIMS/A/2024/601766 and CIC/AIIMS/A/2024/601767 for directing Applicant Ms Khushi Jain D/O of Mr Pradeep Kumar Jain, father who is involved in corruption Charges in CBI Court in Case No CBI/93/2023, RC14/(A)/2023, PS – CBI/ACB/ND, CNR- DLCT11-000678-2023, CBI Vs Pradeep Kumar Jain, who now cleverly represented on her behalf in above said three matters before commission in 2nd Appeal for taking permission from Shri Ankit Singla, Special Judge (PC – ACT), CBI – 02, Room no 606, 6th floor Rouse Avenue Courts, New Delhi for the said records (which are records of witness Mr Anil Khanna) in accordance to law.”

2. Reply of CPIO:-

“With reference to your RTI Application dated 24.02.2025 received on 25.02.2025, it is informed that all the decisions mentioned in your RTI application are available in public domain and are self-explanatory.”

3. Ground of First Appeal:-

Aggrieved with the reply of CPIO, the Appellant has filed First Appeal and stated that:

Instead of providing said information by CPIO, CIC, CPIO vide letter dated 28.02.2025 had directed to undersigned for referring for seeing on public domain which clearly meant of denial in providing information by CPIO to undersigned. You are requested to kindly order CPIO in providing information free of cost of said attested copies of orders of Hon'ble Court of CBI Court, New Delhi or any decision given similar matter by Hon'ble Court of India by which information can be provided as given by Shri Heeralal Samariya, Chief Information Commissioner in its order No CIC/AIIMS/A/2024/601764, CIC/AIIMS/A/2024/601766 and CIC/AIIMS/A/2024/601767 to Applicant Ms Khushi Jain D/O of Mr Pradeep Kumar Jain, father who is involved in corruption Charges in

CBI Court in case No CBI/93/2023, RC14/(A)/2023, PS-CBI/ACB/ND, CRN-DLCT11-000678-2023, CBI Vs Pradeep Kumar Jain.

4. Decision with reasons:-

The First Appeal, RTI application and reply given by CPIO of CIC have been perused. Accordingly, the reply given by the CPIO is appropriate and as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. Hence, no intervention is required on behalf of the FAA in this matter.

5. The appeal is being disposed of accordingly.

6. In case the Appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated – 04.04.2025


Registrar & First Appellate Authority
Tel: 011-26105021

Copy to:-

1. CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi for information.

✓
(8) 04/04/25

o/c

C. I. C. / के. सू. आ.	
RECEIVED	
04 APR 2025	
D. No.
Initials.....	