



Central Information Commission  
Baba Gang, Nath Marg  
Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067

CICOM/A/P/25/00071  
CICOM/R/E/25/00140

Name of the Appellant: Shri A.S. Sayed

1.	Date of RTI application	09.02.2025
2.	Date of receipt of RTI application in RTI Cell of CIC	09.02.2025
3.	Date of reply of the RTI application	12.02.2025
4.	CPIO (s) who furnished reply	Sh. Babu Lal, CPIO (Dak Section)
5.	Date of First Appeal application	03.03.205
6.	Date of First Appeal application received in the office of FAA	10.03.2025
7.	Date of Decision	24.03.2025

**1. Brief Facts of the case:-**

The Appellant has attached details of with his RTI Application and sought the following information: -

- “(i) Your office letter/Diary No. 13246/2024 dated 19.10.2024.  
(ii) My letter dated 07.11.2024 Re – Submitting and 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal with all requisite documents.

My I request to know your kind honor to know present status on the further action taken by your good office on the above subjected issue.”

**2. Reply of CPIO:-**

“(i) Information sought by you is not clear. Further, the given diary no. is incorrect.

(ii) Your dak/letter dated 07.11.2024 has been received in the Commission and diarized vide diary no. 136435 dated 02.12.2024 and

the same has been registered as Second Appeal no. CIC/DRADO/A/2024/136435.”

### **3. Ground of First Appeal:-**

Aggrieved with the reply of CPIO, the Appellant has filed First Appeal and stated that:

After three months on the above subject, I have requested to know the present status & action taken on my second appeal through your on line portal registered vide letter No. CICOM/R/E/25/00140 dated 09.02.2024 which has been disposed of on 12.02.2025 without concrete result. The observation made by your CPIO & IC dak section is quite ridiculous mentioning that information sought by me is not clear. However in brief I have asked the following information under RTI Act, 2005. To know the result of other successful candidate whose result was withheld for departmental promotion from technical officer 'B' to technical officer 'C' of the assessment board conducted on June 27<sup>th</sup> & 28<sup>th</sup> at defence laboratory Jodhpur.

I was one of the candidate in the above promotion committee. The other candidate who was successful & promoted as TO 'C' is Mr. SR Chouhan. List of eligible candidates in TO 'B' is also enclosed as Annexure (i) for kind perusal. The list may please be placed as part of 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal enclosure. I have resubmitted my second appeal desired by CIC against the first Appellate Authority of DRDO, RTI, Cell dt 03.11.2024 same is registered (i) above. This, my second appeal has been submitted in accordance with guidelines provided in the booklet of RTI Rules 2012 (Rule No.8). All requisite information along with documents have been enclosed in my 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal where in details of my request has been mentioned in the original application submitted to CPIO of DRDO RTI Cell DRDO Bhawan New Delhi.

Since, my second appeal is already registered & is self-explanatory, it is therefore, requested to take the further action on priority basis.

### **4. Decision with reasons:-**

The First Appeal, RTI application and reply given by CPIO of CIC have been perused. Accordingly, the reply given by the CPIO is appropriate and as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. Hence, no intervention is required on behalf of the FAA in this matter.

5. The appeal is being disposed of accordingly.

6. In case the Appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated – 24.03.2025



Registrar & First Appellate Authority  
Tel: 011-26105021

Copy to:-

1. CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi for information.

O/L

  
24-3-25

C. I. C. / के. सू. आ.	
RECEIVED	
24 MAR 2025	
D. No. ....	
Initials.....	