CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
BABAGANGNATH MARG,
MUNIRKA, NEW DELHI – 110067

CICOM/A/E/25//00133
CICOM/R/E/25/00442/1

Appellant: Shri Rajnish Ratnakar

[redacted]

1	Date of RTI Application	19.05.2025
2	Date of reply of the RTI application	13.06.2025
3	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	Shri Chandan Kumar, SO (Admn.)
4	Date of First Appeal application	13.06.2025
5	Date of First Appeal receipt	13.06.2025
6	**Date of Decision**	07.07.2025

Brief description of the case:

Through the first appeal, the appellant has sought to certifies copies of all CIC circulars, or orders issued to Public Authorities, details of any supervisory or monitoring mechanism or CIC, certifies copies of communication from CIC to DoPT regarding filling up to vacancies of the Information Commissioners, copies of monitoring reports compliance audits or inspection memos prepared by the CIC, list of all public authorities penalized by CIC, certifies copies of records, memos or inspection notes regarding assessment of DoPT role, steps taken by CIC to enforce Supreme Court directions , details of any communication or inquiry towards DoPT or relevant ministries on Supreme Court's direction and records of any meetings or discussions held between CIC and DoPT for monitoring proactive disclosure compliance.

Reply of CPIO:

The required reply has already been given by the CPIO (Section Officer – Admn. Section) vide letter No. 2025/CIC/Admn. / RTI dated 13.06.2025 in which point wise reply has been furnished by the CPIO.

Grounds of First Appeal:

Aggrieved with the reply of CPIO, the Appellant has filed First Appeal reiterating his request to provide the requested information in the RTI application.

Decision of FAA:

After perusal of the Appeal, RTI application and reply of the CPIO, it is observed that the information given by the CPIO with respect to Admin. Section is as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. Hence, no intervention is required on behalf of the FAA in this matter.

However, it has been observed that marking of RTI application was inappropriate which hampered the flow of information. Therefore, it is desirable that proper marking of the RTI application should be made at dak stage.

It has also been observed that the CPIO (Admn.) section had sought assistance under section 5(4) of RTI Act 2005 from Legal & MR Sections and the Registries. As the applicant preferred a First Appeal against the provided information, it would be appropriate that FAAs of these sections may like to review the provided information.

The appeal is being disposed of accordingly.

If the appellant is not satisfied with this order/decision, a second appeal under Section 19(3) of the Act may lie within 90 days of the receipt of this order to the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi – 110067.

07/07/25

Dated: 07.07.2025 First Appellate Officer & Joint Secretary (Admn.)

Copy to:

1) FAA (Legal) / FAA (MR)/ FAA (Registrar) for information and necessary action please.
2) DS to CR (Section) – for necessary action please.
3) Central Public Information Officer, RTI Cell, Central Information Commission, New Delhi.
4) Section Officer – (Admn.), Central Information Commission, New Delhi.

C. I. C./के० सू० आ०
RECEIVED
07 JUL 2025
D. No. .....
Initials.....