

Central Information Commission
Baba Gang, Nath Marg
Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067

CICOM/A/P/25/00138

CICOM/R/P/25/00238

Name of the Appellant: Sh. Gopal Krishan

1.	Date of RTI application	28.04.2025
2.	Date of receipt of RTI application in RTI Cell of CIC	07.05.2025
3.	Date of reply of the RTI application	29.05.2025
4.	CPIO (s) who furnished reply	Sh. O.P.Pokhriyal CPIO, (DR to IC AR)
5.	Date of First Appeal application	01.07.2025
6.	Date of First Appeal application received in the office of FAA	03.07.2025
7.	Date of Decision	07.07.2025

1. Brief Facts of the case:-

The Appellant has attached details of with his RTI Application and sought the following information: -

“This is to invite Commission’s attention to my letters No. 3/CS/2021 dated 4th December, 2023 about non – compliance of the decision of the Commission (Annexure I) and 3/CS/2023 dated the 5th December, 2023 rectification of mistake apparent on the face of record (Annexure II). It is requested that information about action taken may be communicated to me.”

2. Reply of CPIO:-

“Perusal of the Appellant’s letters dated 04/12/2023 & 05/12/2023 makes it clear that he has prayed for re – call & rehearing of his 2nd Appeal CIC/SM/a/2012/000049, 50, 74, 154 and 1277 heard & disposed of vide Commission’s order dated 03.10.2012. As per provision laid

down u/s 19(7) of RTI Act, the decision of Commission is final & binding. Further, the Commission has no power to review or recall its own decisions. It is amply clear that appellant has not pressed for action regarding non – compliance, if any, and merely for recall of matter, which is beyond purview of RTI Act.”

3. Ground of First Appeal:-

Aggrieved with the reply of CPIO, the Appellant has filed First Appeal and stated that:

In the reply it has been mentioned as if I had prayed for recall and rehearing of the 2nd appeal only. If you kindly see the letter dated the 4th December, 2023 again it would be observed that the same was about Non – Compliance of the decision No. CIC/SM/A/2011/002609 dated the 24th September, 2012 of the Commission. No action has been taken about that. It was simply observed that I had not pressed for that.

The other was about rectification of mistake apparent on the face of record in the light of various high court judgements. Those have not been considered but it has been stated that that was beyond the purview of the RTI Act. It is requested that this may be considered in the light of the high court judgements.


4. Decision with reasons:-

The First Appeal, RTI application and reply given by CPIO of CIC have been perused. Accordingly, the reply given by the CPIO is appropriate and as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. Hence, no intervention is required on behalf of the FAA in this matter.

5. The appeal is being disposed of accordingly.

6. In case the Appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated – 07.07.2025



Registrar & First Appellate Authority
Tel: 011-26105021

Copy to:-

1. CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi for information.

Shim
07/7/25

O/C