

**Central Information Commission**  
Room No. 302, Baba Gang Nath Marg,  
3rd floor, Munirka, New Delhi -110067

Registration No.: CICOM/R/2018/00477/SB

Dated: 24.05.2018

Shri Roshan Lal Goyal

**Subject: Information under RTI Act-2005.**

Sir,

This refers to your RTI application dated 02.05.2018 received by this Registry via online facility RTI MIS on 10.05.2018. In this regard point wise reply is as under: -

1. **Copy of the second appeal, RTI Application dated 04.10.2013 & letter dated 24.09.2013 addressed to the Zonal Manager, UCO Bank, Chandigarh in file no. CIC/SH/A/2014/000427 requested by the Appellant originated from the Appellant himself, he is not within his right to seek the same. In this connection, your attention is drawn to paragraph 6 and 7 of the Commission's order dated 5.12.2006 passed in file No. CIC/AT/A/2006/00411 in the case of G.P.S Rana Vs Delhi Police. The Commission decided as under:**

**"6. A perusal of the original information sought by the appellant from the CPIO reveal that items 1 to 9 and items 16 to 18 pertained to copies of the appellant's own letters written to the public authority, in this case the Delhi Police. The AA concluded that since the information requested by the appellant originated from the appellant himself, he was not within his right to seek the same from the public authority.**

**7. The contention of the AA is upheld. The purpose of the RTI Act is to allow access to a citizen to information held by a public authority. The key element is provision of information. Insofar as an information is held by a citizen himself, it must be construed that he already had access to such information and his seeking the same from a public authority is a wholly infructuous exercise. In such cases, it should suffice if the public authority intimates to the appellant whether or not his/her letters/petitions had been received by that public authority and the dates thereof. If he wants to have copies of his own letters written to the public authority, he better look up his own records. In all such cases, the key information to be transmitted to an information-seeker, when such information pertains to the copies of letters he himself might have written to public authority, is that the public authority was or was not in possession of those letters/petitions. The public authority has no obligation beyond supplying the above-mentioned information to the information-seeker."**

2. **Copy of letter no. CZO/HRM/2015-15/4034 dated 08.04.2015 of PIO, UCO Bank, ZO Chandigarh containing 1 page.**

3. *Copy of letter no. CZO/HRM/2013-14/1313 dated 26.10.2013 of PIO, UCO Bank, ZO Chandigarh containing 1 page.*
4. *Copy of Notice issued to PIO, UCO Bank, ZO Chandigarh issued by the Hon'ble CIC, New Delhi due to Non-Compliance of Decision dated 11.03.2015 containing 1 page.*
5. *Copy of reply received from PIO, UCO Bank, ZO Chandigarh in response to containing 4 pages.*

*You are requested to deposit Rs. 14/- @ Rs. 2/-per page by an Indian postal order, demand draft or a Banker's Cheque in favour of the Pay & Accounts Officer, CAT, payable at New Delhi. The required documents will be sent to you on receipt of the payment from you.*

*In case, you are not satisfied with the above reply you can file an appeal, within 30 days from the date of receipt of this letter to: Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority, Room No. 502, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi -110067*

*(S.S. Rohilla)*  
*Dy. Registrar & CPIO*  
***Registry of IC(SB)***  
*Email id:-do.icsb-cic@gov.in*  
*Contact No. 011-26105682*

***Copy to:***

*Nodal CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC*