Central Information Commission

CIC Bhavan, Munirka
Baba Gang Nath Marg, New Delhi - 110 066

File No. CICOM/R/E/2022/00981/CR-1 Dated 11.10.2022

To
Shri Pravin Chandra Roy,

Flat No 203 B, Plot No 5 ,

Jai Hind Apartment Road

Road No 24 Sadh Nagar

Part 2 Palam New Delhi 110045

Sub: Providing Information under RTI Act, 2005 – reg.

Sir,

I am to refer to your RTI application dated 8.10.2022, It has been registered in this Commission vide No. CICOM/R/E/22/00981 and received in this sub-registry on 04.10.2022 through online RTI MIS .

Reply to your above RTI is as follows:

Copy of the second appeal/compliant in file no CIC/R/E/22/00981 requested by the Appellant/Complainant originated from the Appellant himself, he is not within his right to seek the same. In this connection your attention id drawn to paragraph 6 and 7 of the Commission's order dated 5.12.2006 passed in file no CIC/AT/A/2006/00411 in the case of G.P.S Rana Vs Delhi Police. The Commission decided as under:

"6. A perusal of the original information sought by the appellant from the CPIO reveals that items 1 to 9 and items 16 to 18 pertained to copies of appellant's own letter written to public authority, in this case the Delhi Police. The AA concluded that since the information requested by the appellant originated from the appellant himself he was not within his right to seek the same from the public authority.

"7. The contention of AA is upheld. The purpose of the RTI Act is to allow access to a citizen to information held by public authority. The key element is provision of information. In so far as information is held by citizen himself, he must be construed that he already had access to such information and his seeking the same from a public authority is wholly infructuous exercise. In such cases, it should suffice if the public authority intimates to the appellant whether or not his/her letters/petitions had been received by that public authority and the dates thereof. If he wants to have copies of his own letters written to the public authority, he better look up his own records. In all such cases, the key information to be transmitted to an information seeker when such information pertains to the copies of letters he himself might have written to public authority is that the public authority was or was not in possession of those letters/ petitions. The public authority has no obligation beyond supplying the above mentioned information to the information seeker".

As such Appellant is advised to seek his own records for the same.

Appeal, if any, against this reply will lie to **Ms. Roop Avtar Kaur, Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority**, Room No 502. Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, Near Old JNU Campus, New Delhi-110067 within 30 days of receipt of reply of the CPIO.

Sharad Kumar

(Sharad Kumar)
DR
Central Registry – 1

Copy to: - The CPIO (RTI Cell), CIC, New Delhi.

Sharad Kumar
(Sharad Kumar)